

NASCIS007

Abuse of Vulnerable Adults 2011-12

Comparator Report

York (219)

This report is based on FINAL data

Published on 6th March 2013

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report


Contents

- Chart 01 – Number of alerts and referrals per 100,000 population, 2011-12
- Chart 02 – Primary client type of adults referred to safeguarding, 2011-12
- Chart 03 – Age group of adults referred to safeguarding, 2011-12
- Chart 04 – Repeat referrals as a percentage of all referrals, 2011-12
- Chart 05 – Completed referrals as a percentage of all referrals, 2011-12
- Chart 06 – Percentage of all referrals where key information about the vulnerable adult was incomplete, 2011-12
- Chart 07 – Percentage of all referrals where vulnerable adult was known to CASSR at time of referral, 2011-12
- Chart 08 – Self, friends or family referrers as a percentage of all referrers, 2011-12
- Chart 09 – Distribution of referral sources, 2011-12
- Chart 10 – Distribution of locations the alleged abuse took place, 2011-12
- Table 11 – Relationship to alleged perpetrator shown as a percentage of all relationships recorded, 2011-12
- Chart 12 – Distribution of the relationship between alleged perpetrator who is social care staff and the vulnerable adult, 2011-12
- Chart 13 – Acceptance of protection plan, 2011-12
- Chart 14 – Distribution of case conclusions, 2011-12
- Chart 15 – Comparison of outcomes data, 2011-12
- Chart 16 – Overview of unclassified data, 2011-12

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 01 - Number of alerts and referrals per 100,000 population



Source: AVA Table 1

This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.


A higher number of alerts may indicate good awareness of safeguarding procedures in the community.

Some councils do not record data on alerts. These councils may have recorded all initial concerns as referrals and this may lead to a higher number of referrals per 100k population than councils who do record alerts. For this chart, the alerts per 100k population for England will include only data from councils who do record alerts. As a result this figure may differ from the NASCIS OLAP which includes data for all councils.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 02 - Primary client types of adults referred to safeguarding



Source: AVA Table 1


This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.

This chart is based on referrals data.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 03 - Age group of adults referred to safeguarding



Source: AVA Table 1


This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.

This chart is based on referrals data.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 04 - Repeat referrals as a percentage of all referrals



Source: AVA Table 1


This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected

Referrals are classed as repeat referrals when they involve a separate matter about the same vulnerable adult during the same collection period.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 05 - Completed referrals as a percentage of all referrals



Source: AVA Table 1

This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected


Completed referrals relate to only those referrals which were completed during the reporting year. Some completed referrals could have been opened in the previous reporting period. Therefore the number of completed referrals can be higher or lower than the number of referrals.

If the percentage is comparatively low, this may indicate difficulties in recording completed referral data on the council system.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 06 - Percentage of all referrals where key information about the vulnerable adult was incomplete



Source: AVA Table 1

This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected


Key information refers to age group, gender and primary client type of the vulnerable adult.

If this value is comparatively high this may indicate recording issues at the initial point of contact.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 07 - Percentage of referrals where vulnerable adult was known to CASSR at time of referral



Source: AVA Table 1


This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.

A large range of percentages across the councils may indicate a misinterpretation of the definition for this measure.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 08 - Self, friends or family referrers as a percentage of all referrers



Source: AVA Table 3


This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.

Higher percentages may be a indication that safeguarding awareness is good in the community and routes for reporting concerns are known.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 09 - Distribution of referral sources



Source: AVA Table 3

This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.

The Other category includes cases where the referrer was recorded as CQC or Education/Training/Workplace as well as those recorded as Other in the return.

A significant percentage of referrals in the Police/Housing and Health Staff categories may indicate good partnership working.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 10 - Distribution of locations the alleged abuse took place



Source: AVA Table 5A

This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.

Care Home Setting includes both permanent and temporary placements in care or nursing homes.

Health Setting includes acute and community hospitals, mental health inpatient settings and those recorded as Other Health Settings in the return.

Other Locations include day centre/services, public place, education/training/workplace establishments and those recorded as Other in the return.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Table 11 - Relationship to alleged perpetrator shown as a percentage of all relationships recorded

	Partner	Other family member	Health Care Worker	Volunteer/Befriender	Social Care Staff	Other professional	Other Vulnerable Adult	Neighbour/Friend	Stranger	Not Known	Other
York	6%	18%	2%	0%	35%	0%	13%	5%	2%	9%	9%
COMPARATOR GROUP	6%	15%	5%	0%	26%	3%	12%	5%	2%	15%	10%
ENGLAND	6%	16%	5%	0%	28%	3%	13%	6%	2%	13%	7%
Bath and North East Somerset	7%	25%	2%	0%	29%	0%	8%	11%	2%	8%	7%
Bedford Borough	9%	13%	9%	0%	35%	2%	10%	7%	5%	8%	2%
Bury	8%	18%	1%	0%	37%	1%	7%	2%	4%	8%	14%
Calderdale	6%	28%	6%	1%	20%	1%	1%	12%	3%	19%	3%
Cheshire East	6%	14%	4%	0%	26%	1%	18%	4%	0%	20%	6%
Cheshire West and Chester	9%	19%	7%	0%	27%	2%	13%	4%	1%	13%	5%
Darlington	2%	13%	2%	3%	53%	1%	9%	7%	1%	4%	5%
Derby	9%	19%	2%	0%	6%	12%	7%	8%	3%	18%	16%
Dudley	3%	16%	7%	0%	23%	3%	5%	2%	0%	32%	8%
Solihull	4%	19%	8%	0%	26%	5%	0%	5%	1%	15%	18%
South Gloucestershire	8%	12%	10%	0%	38%	0%	19%	5%	2%	4%	1%
Stockport	4%	16%	3%	0%	42%	2%	10%	3%	1%	9%	11%
Swindon	15%	17%	0%	0%	28%	0%	14%	16%	1%	9%	0%
Trafford	4%	10%	8%	0%	46%	2%	10%	6%	3%	4%	8%
Warrington	3%	8%	10%	0%	7%	3%	26%	3%	0%	24%	16%

Source: AVA Table 6A


This table shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.

Any relationships for which the percentage differs from comparators may raise questions about whether adequate safeguarding processes are present in these areas.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 12 - Distribution of the relationship between alleged perpetrator who is social care staff and the vulnerable adult



Source: AVA Table 6A

This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.

As social care staff accounts for a significant proportion of relationship data this has been broken down further into different categories of social care staff which includes both council and independent staff.


Any relationships for which the percentage differs significantly from the other comparator councils and England may provide evidence to support further targeting and training.

Some councils may have submitted zeros for these data items and for these councils the chart will appear blank.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 13 - Acceptance of protection plan



Source: AVA Table 8C

This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.


A large percentage of protection plans being declined may raise concerns about whether the vulnerable adult is being effectively engaged with during the safeguarding process.

Some councils may have submitted zeros for these data items and for these councils the chart will appear blank.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 14 - Distribution of case conclusions



Source: AVA Table 7A

This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.


Large percentages of Not Determined/Inconclusive outcomes or Not Substantiated outcomes may indicate issues with decision making or recording processes.

Not all councils recognise all four conclusion types. Absence of a particular conclusion type could indicate that the category is not used by the council rather than no cases were observed.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 15 - Comparison of outcomes data



Source: AVA Tables 1, 7A, 8A, & 8C

This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.


It is expected that the percentage of referrals where the allegations were not substantiated will be similar to the percentage where the victim outcome is No Further Action.

It is also expected that where cases are not substantiated a protection plan would not necessarily be offered.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

York (219)

Chart 16 - Overview of unclassified data



Source: AVA Tables 1, 8A, & 9

This chart shows FINAL data. Comparator group councils are based on the CIPFA Statistical Nearest Neighbours (post April 2009) model with the default variables selected.

Overuse of these categories is discouraged as it does not provide meaningful information.

Where the percentages differ significantly to comparators this may raise queries about recording practices.

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

Appendix 1: Data sources and guidance

The charts and tables featured in this report are listed in the table below, with sources for the numerators and denominators and how to find them in the On-Line Analytical Processor (OLAP) on NASCIS. To access the OLAP tool, visit the NASCIS website <http://nascis.ic.nhs.uk>

To obtain data using the OLAP tool, where the total of a dimension is required, ensure that totals are displayed by selecting the view totals button at the top left.



In some cases in this report, you can obtain the percentages by using the % distribution measure within the OLAP. In these instances, the denominator is superfluous. However if you would like to review the figures, the denominator can be determined.

For further guidance on using OLAP, please consult the OLAP user guidance <http://nascis.ic.nhs.uk/Portal/OLAPGuidance.pdf>

Chart	Numerator(s)	Denominator(s)
<p>Chart 01 Number of alerts and referrals per 100,000 population</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 34, column 3 2. Table 1, line 34, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Alerts – Year dimension; Per 100,000 population measure 2. AVA Table 1 Referrals –Year dimension; Per 100,000 population measure</p>	<p>Population data: 1. Latest ONS mid-year population estimates 2. (numerator/population estimate) x 100,000 OLAP: 1. Per 10k and Per 100k population measures are available on OLAP. ONS mid-year population estimates are not available in OLAP. Please contact info@statistics.gov.uk to request this data from ONS.</p>
<p>Chart 02 Primary Client Type of adults referred to safeguarding</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, sum of lines 1, 9, 17, 25, column 6 2. Table 1, sum of lines 3, 11, 19, 27, column 6 3. Table 1, sum of lines 5, 13, 21, 29, column 6 4. Table 1, sum of lines 6, 14, 22, 30, column 6 5. Table 1, sum of lines 7, 15, 23, 31, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Age band & Client Type dimension: Age 18-64, 65-74, 75-84, 85 and over, expanded to client types</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 33, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Age band & Client Type dimension: Age 18 and over, 18+ excluding unknown</p>

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

<p>Chart 03 Age group of adults referred to safeguarding</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 8, column 6 2. Table 1, line 16, column 6 3. Table 1, line 24, column 6 4. Table 1, line 32, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Age band & Client Type dimension: Age 18-64, 65-74, 75-84, 85 and over, Totals</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 33, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Age band & Client Type dimension: Age 18 and over, 18+ excluding unknown</p>
<p>Chart 04 Repeat referrals as a percentage of all referrals</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 34, column 9 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Repeat Referrals dimension; % distribution measure</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 34, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Year dimension</p>
<p>Chart 05 Completed referrals as a percentage of all referrals</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 34, column 12 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Completed Referrals – Year dimension</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 34, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Year dimension</p>
<p>Chart 06 Percentage of all referrals where key information about the vulnerable adult was incomplete</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 34, column 6 MINUS 2. Table 1, line 33, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Year dimension 2. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Age band & Client Type dimension: Age 18 and over, 18+ excluding unknown</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 34, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Year dimension</p>
<p>Chart 07 Percentage of all referrals the vulnerable adult was known to the CASSR at time of referral</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 36, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Age band & Client Type dimension: Age 18 and over, 18+ Including Unknown, of which Known to CASSR at time of alert/referral; % distribution measure</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 34, column 6 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Referrals – Year dimension</p>
<p>Chart 08 Self, friends or family referrers as a percentage of all referrers</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 3, sum of lines 12, 13, 14, column 8 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 3 – Source of Referral dimension: Self Referral, Family Member, Friend/neighbour; % distribution measure</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 3, line 21, column 8 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 3 – Year dimension</p>

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

<p>Chart 09 Distribution of referral sources</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 3, line 1, column 8 2. Table 3, line 8, column 8 3. Table 3, line 15, column 8 4. Table 3 sum of lines 12, 13,14, column 8 5. Table 3 sum of lines 16, 18, 20, column 8 6. Table 3 sum of lines 17 & 19, column 8 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 3 – Source of Referral dimension - all categories; % distribution measure</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 3, line 21, column 8 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 3 – Year dimension</p>
<p>Chart 10 Distribution of location the alleged abuse took place</p>	<p>AVA Return: 1. Table 5A, line 1, column 5 2. Table 5A, sum of lines 2-5, column 5 3. Table 5A, line 6, column 5 4. Table 5A, sum of lines 7-10, column 5 5. Table 5A, line 11, column 5 6. Table 5A, sum of lines 12-15, column 5 7. Table 5A, line 16, column 5 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 5A – Location of Alleged Abuse dimension - all categories; % distribution measure</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 5A, line 17, column 5 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 5A – Year dimension</p>
<p>Table 11 Relationship to alleged perpetrator shown as a percentage of all relationships recorded</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 6A line 1, column 9 2. Table 6A line 2, column 9 3. Table 6A line 3, column 9 4. Table 6A line 4, column 9 5. Table 6A line 5, column 9 6. Table 6A line 12, column 9 7. Table 6A line 13, column 9 8. Table 6A line 14, column 9 9. Table 6A line 15, column 9 10. Table 6A line 16, column 9 11. Table 6A line 17, column 9 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 6A – Relationship of the Alleged perpetrator dimension - all categories; % distribution measure</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 6A line 18, column 9 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 6A – Year dimension</p>

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

<p>Chart 12 Distribution of the relationship between the alleged perpetrator who is social care staff and the vulnerable adult</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 6A line 6, column 9 2. Table 6A line 7, column 9 3. Table 6A line 8, column 9 4. Table 6A line 9, column 9 5. Table 6A line 10, column 9 6. Table 6A line 11, column 9 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 6A – Relationship of the Alleged perpetrator dimension: Social Care staff, of which Domiciliary Care Staff, of which Residential Care Staff, of which Day Care Staff, of which Social Worker/Care Manager, of which Self-Directed Care Staff, of which Other</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 6A line 5, column 9 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 6A – Relationship of the Alleged perpetrator dimension: Social Care staff Total</p>
<p>Chart 13 Acceptance of protection plan</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 8C, lines 1-3, column 10 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 8 – Outcome of Completed Referral dimension: Number of completed referrals where Protection Plan Offered, Protection Plan accepted, Protection plan declined, Could not consent to offer</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table AVA 8C, line 4, column 10 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 8 – Outcome of Completed Referral: Number of completed referrals where Protection Plan Offered Total</p>
<p>Chart 14 Distribution of case conclusions</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 7A, line 10, columns 1-4 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 7 – Case Conclusion Status dimension - all categories; % distribution measure</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 7A, line 10, sum of columns 1-4 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 7 – Year dimension</p>
<p>Chart 15 Comparison of outcomes data</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 7A, line 10, column 3 2. Table 8A, line 16, column 10 3. Table 8C, line 4, column 10 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 7 – Case Conclusion Status dimension: Not substantiated 2. AVA Table 8 – Outcome of Completed Referral dimension: No further action, Number of completed referrals where Protection Plan Offered</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 7A, line 10, columns 1-4 2. Table 1, line 33, column 12 3. Table 1, line 33, column 12 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 7 – Case Conclusion Status dimension total 2. AVA Table 1 Completed Referrals – Age band & Client Type dimension: Age 18 and over, 18+ excluding unknown</p>

NASCIS007 Abuse of Vulnerable Adults (AVA) 2011-12 Comparator Report

<p>Chart 16 Overview of unclassified data</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 8A, line 15, column 10 2. Table 9, line 18 column 10 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 8 – Outcome of Completed Referral dimension: Other 2. AVA Table 9 – Outcome of Completed Referral - Alleged Perpetrator dimension: Not Known</p>	<p>AVA return: 1. Table 1, line 33, column 12 OLAP: 1. AVA Table 1 Completed Referrals – Age band & Client Type dimension: Age 18 and over, 18+ excluding unknown</p>
---	---	--